Searching for Diffuse Astrophysical Muon Neutrinos with IceCube

Sean Grullon
University of Wisconsin - Madison

Overview

Overview

- High Energy Neutrino Astronomy

Overview

- High Energy Neutrino Astronomy
- The IceCube Detector

Overview

- High Energy Neutrino Astronomy
- The IceCube Detector
- Diffuse Search Strategy

Overview

- High Energy Neutrino Astronomy
- The IceCube Detector
- Diffuse Search Strategy
- Analysis Results from 2008

Particle Physics Today:
Three Frontiers of Science



Cosmic Rays: A 100 year old mystery

Balloon flights
1911-1913

Victor Hess
Nobel Prize
1936



- Power law over many decades
- Origin Uncertain

Cosmic Rays: A 100 year old mystery

Balloon flights
1911-1913

Victor Hess
Nobel Prize
1936



- Power law over many decades
- Origin Uncertain

Neutrinos as Cosmic Messengers



p ***Protons:*** **deflected by magnetic fields.**

γ ***Photons:*** **easily absorbed by CMB and IR backgrounds. EM/Hadronic discrimination difficult**

ν ***Neutrinos:*** **not deflected by magnetic fields. Low interaction cross-section.**

Sources of High Energy Astrophysical Neutrinos

Sources of High Energy Astrophysical Neutrinos

Sources of High Energy Astrophysical Neutrinos

Supernova Remnants

Sources of High Energy Astrophysical Neutrinos



Supernova Remnants

Sources of High Energy Astrophysical Neutrinos



Supernova Remnants



Active Galactic Nuclei

Sources of High Energy Astrophysical Neutrinos



Supernova Remnants

Active Galactic Nuclei



Sources of High Energy Astrophysical Neutrinos



Supernova Remnants



Active Galactic Nuclei

Gamma Ray Bursts



ν beams : heaven and earth





Atmospheric Neutrinos

Atmospheric Neutrinos

- Main Background to Astrophysical Search
- Created by high energy cosmic rays impeding on Earth's atmosphere
- Conventional (Pions & Kaons) vs. Prompt (Charmed Mesons)

Atmospheric Neutrinos

- Main Background to Astrophysical Search
- Created by high energy cosmic rays impeding on Earth's atmosphere
- Conventional (Pions & Kaons) vs. Prompt (Charmed Mesons)



Atmospheric Neutrinos

- Main Background to Astrophysical Search
- Created by high energy cosmic rays impeding on Earth's atmosphere
- Conventional (Pions & Kaons) vs. Prompt (Charmed Mesons)

$$p + {}^{16}N \rightarrow \pi^+, K^+, D^+, \text{etc.}$$

$$\pi^+ \rightarrow \nu_\mu + \mu^+$$
$$\mu^+ \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\mu + e^+ + \nu_e$$



Flux Model Predictions



Fl ons


$E_\nu^2\, dN_\nu/dE_\nu$, GeV cm^{-2} s^{-1} sr^{-1}
10^{-3}
10^{-4}
10^{-5}
10^{-6}
10^{-7}
10^{-8}
10^{-9}
2


dN_ν/dE_ν [GeV^{-1} sr^{-1} s^{-1} cm^{-2}]
10^{13}
10^{8}
10^{3}
10^{-2}
10^{-7}
10^{-12}
10^{-17}
10^{-22}
10^{-27}
AMANDA−II
Frejus
CνB
pp
^{8}B
Solar
10 kpc
1987
relic
SN
Atmospheric
AGN
GRB
GZK
-15
-10
-5
0
5
10
log(E_ν /GeV)

11
12
[GeV]

Fl ons



Diffuse Search Strategy:
What if there are no individually resolvable point sources of νs? Look for superposition of faint ν sources

IceCube


South Pole Station
Geographic South Pole
IceCube outline
Skiway

IceCube Collaboration

Bartol Research Inst, Univ of Delaware, USA
Pennsylvania State University, USA
University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA
University of Wisconsin-River Falls, USA
LBNL, Berkeley, USA
UC Berkeley, USA
UC Irvine, USA

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium
Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium
Université de Mons-Hainaut, Belgium
Universiteit Gent, Belgium
Universität Mainz, Germany
DESY Zeuthen, Germany
Universität Wuppertal, Germany
Universität Dortmund, Germany

Humboldt Universität, Germany
MPI, Heidelberg
Ruhr-Universität, Bochum
Uppsala Universitet, Sweden
Stockholm Universitet, Sweden
Kalmar Universitet, Sweden
Imperial College, London, UK
University of Oxford, UK
Utrecht University, Netherlands
EPFL, Lausanne, Switserland



Chiba University, Japan

Univ. of Alabama, USA
Clark-Atlanta University, USA
Univ. of Maryland, USA
University of Kansas, USA
Southern Univ. and A&M College,
Baton Rouge, LA, USA
University of Alaska, Anchorage
Georgia Tech, USA
Ohio State, USA

University of Canterbury,
Christchurch, New Zealand

35 collaborating institutions

The IceCube Detector

IceTop

Air shower detector threshold ~ 300 TeV

InIce

80-86 Strings, 60 Optical Modules per String



Deep Core

- ✓Completion: January 2011
- ✓**2008: 40 Strings (This Analysis)**
- ✓2009: 59 Strings
- ✓2010: 79 Strings



Digital Optical Module



MainBoard



Photomultiplier Tube







- Cherenkov cone provides direction

Event Topologies

- ν_μ produce μ tracks
  - Angular Res ~ 0.7^0 Eres log(E)~0.3
- ν_e CC, ν_x NC create showers
  - ~ point sources, 'cascades'
  - Eres log(E)=0.1-0.2
- ν_T double bang events, others





350 TeV ν_e simulation



16 PeV ν_T simulation

IceCube performance

Low noise rates: ~500Hz (SPE/sec)

High duty cycle: >96%

Event rates (59 strings)

- Muons: ~1.5 kHz
- Neutrinos: ~160/day

Strings	Year	Livetime	μ rate	ν rate
IC9	2006	137 days	80 Hz	1.7 / day
IC22	2007	275 days	550 Hz	28 / day
IC40	2008	~365 days	1000 Hz	110 / day
IC59	2009	~365 days	1500 Hz	160 / day
IC86*	2011	~365 days	1650 Hz	220 / day

Cosmic ray

Atmospheric μ

$\theta = 0^o$

$\cos\theta = 1$

$\theta = 180^o$

$\cos\theta = -1$





Atmospheric ν

Astrophysical (signal) ν

Cosmic ray

Atmospheric μ

$\theta = 0^o$

$\cos\theta = 1$

$\theta = 180^o$

$\cos\theta = -1$





Atmospheric ν

Astrophysical (signal) ν

Step 1: Downgoing Muon Rejection





Apply quality cuts on **Data**, **Corsika MC**, and **Atmospheric Neutrino MC**

Energy Distribution - 6 Months 40 String Data



Step 2: Diffuse Analysis Strategy

Find an excess of astrophysical neutrinos (E^{-2}) over atmospheric neutrinos ($E^{-3.7}$) at the high-energy tail of an energy distribution



Step 2: Diffuse Analysis Strategy

Find an excess of astrophysical neutrinos (E^{-2}) over atmospheric neutrinos ($E^{-3.7}$) at the high-energy tail of an energy distribution



Energy Estimation

- Convert what is measured, Cherenkov light, to an estimate of the Muon energy.
- Simplest estimation: **Number of Triggered Optical Modules (NCh)**
- More Sophisticated: **Muon Energy Loss (dE/dX)**





Reconstructing The Muon Energy Loss



Reconstructing The Muon Energy Loss



Approximate as:

Reconstructing The Muon Energy Loss



Approximate as:

Incorporate Ice Properties:

dusty

clean



shallow

deep

Reconstructing The Muon Energy Loss



Formulate LLH:

Approximate as:

Incorporate Ice Properties:

dusty

clean



shallow

deep

Reconstructing The Muon Energy Loss



Formulate LLH:

$$-\log P(\{n_i\}\,|\,\{\mu_i\}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i \log(\mu_i/\mu) - N\log\mu + \mu$$

Approximate as:

Incorporate Ice Properties:

dusty

clean



shallow deep

Muon Energy Correlation – 40 Strings





- dE/dX reco more linearly correlated with Muon energy

Energy Resolution – 40 Strings





- dE/dX reco has narrower energy resolution

Energy Resolution Vs. Muon Energy – 40 Strings



Likelihood Methodology

- Likelihood - Product over binned Poisson Probabilities:

$$L = P\left(\{n_i\} \,|\, \{\mu_i\}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\mu_i^{n_i}}{n_i!} e^{\mu_i}$$

$$\mu_i = \epsilon \left(N_c p_{c,i} \Delta\gamma_c + N_p p_{p,i} \Delta\gamma_p + N_a p_{a,i} \Delta\gamma_a \right)$$

Atmo ν **Prompt ν** **Astro ν**

- Observable: **Muon Energy Loss dE/dX**
- **Physics Parameters:**
  - Astrophysical Normalization **(N_a)**
- **Nuisance Parameters:**
  - Conventional Normalization **(N_c)**
  - Prompt Normalization **(N_p)**
  - Detector Efficiency **(ε)**
  - Conventional Spectral Slope **($\Delta\gamma_c$)**
  - Prompt Spectral Slope **($\Delta\gamma_a$)**

Fit Example: IC40 Discovery Potential

Fit Example: IC40 Discovery Potential



Allowed Regions - 300 Days IC40



Allowed Regions - 300 Days IC40



Allowed Regions - 300 Days IC40



LLH Fit Example: 300 days IC40, No Signal



Allowed Regions - 300 Days Atmospheric ν only



Allowed Regions - 300 Days Atmospheric ν only



Flux Models, Sensitivities & Limits



Flux Models, Sensitivities & Limits



IC40 Diffuse Sensitivity:

$E^2 < 1.17 \times 10^{-8}$ GeV cm^{-2} s^{-1} sr^{-1}

$3.57 < \log10(E\ /\mathrm{GeV}) < 6.57$

Systematic Uncertainties

Systematic Uncertainties

- Background Systematic Uncertainty

Systematic Uncertainties

- Background Systematic Uncertainty
  - Cosmic Ray Spectrum & Hadronic Interaction Model

Systematic Uncertainties

- Background Systematic Uncertainty
  - Cosmic Ray Spectrum & Hadronic Interaction Model
  - Conventional & Prompt Atmospheric Neutrino Flux

Systematic Uncertainties

- Background Systematic Uncertainty
  - Cosmic Ray Spectrum & Hadronic Interaction Model
  - Conventional & Prompt Atmospheric Neutrino Flux
- Optical Module Sensitivity

Systematic Uncertainties

- Background Systematic Uncertainty
  - Cosmic Ray Spectrum & Hadronic Interaction Model
  - Conventional & Prompt Atmospheric Neutrino Flux
- Optical Module Sensitivity
  - OM calibration error +/- 8%. Implemented in Nuisance Parameter ε

Systematic Uncertainties

- Background Systematic Uncertainty
  - Cosmic Ray Spectrum & Hadronic Interaction Model
  - Conventional & Prompt Atmospheric Neutrino Flux
- Optical Module Sensitivity
  - OM calibration error +/- 8%. Implemented in Nuisance Parameter ε
- Systematic Errors in the Simulation

Systematic Uncertainties

- Background Systematic Uncertainty
  - Cosmic Ray Spectrum & Hadronic Interaction Model
  - Conventional & Prompt Atmospheric Neutrino Flux
- Optical Module Sensitivity
  - OM calibration error +/- 8%. Implemented in Nuisance Parameter ε
- Systematic Errors in the Simulation
- Systematic Uncertainties of the Ice Properties

Atmospheric ν systematic uncertainty



Atmospheric ν systematic uncertainty



Atmospheric ν systematic uncertainty



Atmospheric ν systematic uncertainty



Atmospheric ν systematic uncertainty



Atmospheric ν systematic uncertainty



Atmospheric ν systematic uncertainty



Atmospheric ν systematic uncertainty



Atmospheric ν systematic uncertainty



Systematic Uncertainties in the Simulation

- Uncertainties in neutrino cross-section (3%)
- Uncertainties in muon energy loss (1%)
- Reconstruction & Cut bias (2%)
- Background Contamination (0.5%)

Systematic Uncertainties of the Ice properties





Scattering **Absorption**

- Uncertainty in scattering and absorption +/- 15%
- Systematically vary ice properties in the simulation to get effect on sensitivity & final limit (underway)

Outlook & Conclusion

- IC40 Sensitivity is
  $E^2 < 1.17 \times 10^{-8}$ GeV cm^{-2} s^{-1} sr^{-1}
- Finish Systematic Ice Property Study
- Unblind full year of IC40 data
- Incorporate multi-channel information in future analyses.